

March 9, 1984

LB 1064

any problem anyway. So as I indicated on General File on this bill, I have some real concerns along this area because I also know that those of us that have to go to our banks to continue operating as livestock feeders are definitely in need of capital from that bank and we don't want to do too much to make them very nervous. Now I do have some concerns about any mechanism that might put a priority in front of that longstanding obligation that that livestock feeder has had to his supplier of capital, i.e. the bank, and get any mechanism that will cause that banker to be more nervous. I tell you they are pretty nervous out there right now, and when they get nervous, I tend to get nervous. As a matter of fact, I am getting very nervous and I have some real problems with using those words in here but I am told by Senator Beutler and Senator Chronister that my fears are not necessarily true by putting this language in and that there is some legal reasons for doing it and that might be true. I haven't had an opportunity to check that out. I just got this on my desk but I do need to raise these cautions, I need to raise these issues, and I would hope that Senator Beutler and Senator Chronister would respond to those, and with that, Mr. President, I would ask to withdraw the amendment but to indicate that if my fears are not allayed I will attempt to take that out on Final Reading.

SPEAKER NICHOL: The amendment is withdrawn. Senator Beutler, for what purpose do you rise? The Beutler-Chronsiter amendment, we are back to it.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I just wanted to make a couple of comments. First of all, I understand why Senator Vickers would be nervous because it deals with important matters, although it is hard for me to believe that the man of steel who stood up yesterday and advocated \$50 million without any quake in his voice is nervous today over this little thing. At any rate I want to ensure you with regard to our intent with this amendment that it in no way in my opinion jeopardizes the banker's lien. That is what we are talking about. That is what Senator Vickers is talking about. It would be a big mistake to put that lien in jeopardy in any way. Not only is it not our intent, but I do not believe that the language that we are using in any way does that,